

# What About Homosexuals?

## (Step Up and Be the Church!)

### A Pastor's Perspective

Romans 1:26-27: "For this reason, God gave them up to dishonorable passions. For their women exchanged natural relations for those that are contrary to nature; and the men likewise were consumed with passions for one another, men committing shameless acts with men and receiving in themselves the due penalty for their error."

1 Corinthians 6:9-11: "Or do you not know that the unrighteous will not inherit the kingdom of God? Do not be deceived: neither the sexually immoral, nor idolaters, nor adulterers, nor men who practice homosexuality, nor thieves, nor the greedy, or drunkards, nor revilers, nor swindlers will inherit the kingdom of God. And such were some of you. But you were washed, you were sanctified, you were justified in the name of the Lord Jesus Christ and by the Spirit of our God."

1. **Notice there are a \_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_\_ listed here.**
2. **Homosexuality IS \_\_\_\_\_.**
3. **Vs. 11 says "and such were some of \_\_\_\_\_."**

### What was homosexuality like in the 1<sup>st</sup> Century Roman Empire?

- They was much more \_\_\_\_\_ than even our current situation on America today.
- In Paul's world, Gentile men were \_\_\_\_\_
- Pederasty had no \_\_\_\_\_ overtones
- A Roman man was expected to desire sex with both males and females as long as he took the \_\_\_\_\_ role.

- **In wasn't all this way**, however—There were mutual, consensual, devoted same sex relations as well.
- **Lev 18:22 and 20:13, in the Jewish world is crystal \_\_\_\_\_.**
- Jewish people disagreed about a LOT of things—but despite their differences—\_\_\_\_\_ JEW who wrote on the subject 500 years \_\_\_\_\_ and 500 years \_\_\_\_\_ Christ agreed on one thing—same sex relations were \_\_\_\_\_ the will of God.
- **But there is NO NT verse that \_\_\_\_\_ the same-sex commands like it does with dietary laws.**
- 1 Tim 1:8-11
- In 1 Cor 6:9-10
- Romans 1 is the \_\_\_\_\_ of Paul's view on homosexuality. 1:26-27
- *It's all \_\_\_\_\_ language here.*
- Nowhere does Paul give the impression that the OT's teaching on the subject is somehow \_\_\_\_\_ in light of the gospel.
- Paul didn't view homosexual behavior as any \_\_\_\_\_ than any other form of sexual misconduct.
- Which way is the **TRAJECTORY HERMENEUTIC** going?

## **CULTURE ----- BIBLE TEACHING----- IMPACT**

Well, Roman Culture was VERY \_\_\_\_\_ to it. Paul was WAY more \_\_\_\_\_. So there is a trajectory, but instead of moving toward greater \_\_\_\_\_, it moves toward greater \_\_\_\_\_.

## **Preston Sprinkle Offers these arguments for the Non-Affirming Position:**

-  The Bible talks a lot about marriage yet only \_\_\_\_\_ heterosexual marriages.

- + Jesus highlights sexual \_\_\_\_\_ in marriage, even when he didn't have to (Mark 10)
- + Lev 28:22 and 20:13 state in \_\_\_\_\_ terms that men should not have sex with other men.
- + The \_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_ (500 BC-400 AD) engaged in various types of same-sex relations.
- + The N.T. and Early Christianity grew out of Judaism and \_\_\_\_\_ much of Judaism's sexual ethics.
- + While Jesus never mentioned same-sex relations, he displayed a rather \_\_\_\_\_ sexual ethic in other matters.
- + Romans 1 \_\_\_\_\_ the well-known Jewish and Greco-Roman writers, who believed that same-se relations were "against nature."
- + Paul's "malakos" (effeminate) in 1 Cor. 6:9 and "arsenokoites" condemns \_\_\_\_\_ types
- + For \_\_\_\_\_ years Orthodox Christians have believed marriage is between a man and woman and that such sexual differences is necessary.

### **So, what are my conclusions on this hot button topic?**

1. **The church has \_\_\_\_\_ it badly.**
2. **The fact that we have mishandled it doesn't mean we can \_\_\_\_\_ what God has said about it.**
3. **We must, instead, have an open, loving \_\_\_\_\_ with the world (and the church) instead of a \_\_\_\_\_ match at 10 paces.**
4. Again from O'Brien and Richards: **"We are to \_\_\_\_\_ our gay friends as we love our straight friends.**
5. **The scandal of the gospel isn't who it \_\_\_\_\_ but rather who it \_\_\_\_\_;**